Act (sec. 409(b)(5), (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5))), notice is given that a petition (FAP 2B4296) has been filed by The Shepherd Color Co., P.O. Box 465627, Cincinnati, OH 45246. The petition proposes to amend the food additive regulations in § 178.3297, Colorants for Polymers, (21 CFR 178.3297) to provide for the safe use of cobalt aluminate as a colorant for all polymers intended to contact food.

The potential environmental impact of this action is being reviewed. If the agency finds that an environmental impact statement is not required and this petition results in a regulation, the notice of availability of the agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding will be published with the regulation in the Federal Register in accordance with 21 CFR 25.40(c).

Dated: December 9, 1991.

Fred R. Shank.

Director, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 91-30218 Filed 12-18-91; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. 91E-0377]

Determination of Regulatory Review Period for Purposes of Patent Extensions Plending

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ARAGH ...

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug
Administration (FDA) has determined
the regulatory review period for Plendil
and is publishing this notice of that
determination as required by law. FDA
has made the determination because of
the submission of an application to the
Commissioner of Patents and
Trademarks, Department of Commerce,
for the extension of a patent which
claims that human drug product.
ADDRESSES: Written comments and

petitions should be directed to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, room 1-23, 12420 Parklawn Dr., Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John S. Ensign, Office of Health Affairs (HFY-20), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301-443-1382.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (Pub. L. 98–417) and the Generic Animal Drug and Patent Term Restoration Act (Pub. L. 100–670) generally provide that a patent may be extended for a period of up to 5 years so long as the patented item (human drug

on With the

product, animal drug product, medical device, food additive, or color additive) was subject to regulatory review by FDA before the item was marketed. Under these acts, a product's regulatory review period forms the basis for determining the amount of extension an applicant may receive.

A regulatory review period consists of two periods of time: A testing phase and an approval phase. For human drug products, the testing phase begins when the exemption to permit the clinical investigations of the drug becomes effective and runs until the approval phase beings. The approval phase starts with the initial submission of an application to market the human drug product and continues until FDA grants permission to market the drug product. Although only a portion of a regulatory review period may count toward the actual amount of extension that the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks may award (for example, half the testing phase must be subtracted as well as any time that may have occurred before the patent was issued), FDA's determination of the length of a regulatory review period for a human drug product will include all of the testing phase and approval phase as specified in 35 U.S.C. 156(g)(1)(B).

FDA recently approved for marketing the human drug product Plendil® Plendil® (felodipine) is indicated for the treatment of hypertension. Plendil® may be used alone or concomitantly with other antihypertensive agents. Subsequent to this approval, the Patent and Trademark Office received a patent term restoration application for Plendil® (U.S. Patent No. 4,264,611) from Aktiebolaget Astra, and the Patent and Trademark Office requested FDA's assistance in determining this patent's eligibility for patent term restoration. FDA, in a letter dated September 18. 1991, advised the Patent and Trademark Office that this human drug product had undergone a regulatory review period and that the approval of Plendil* represented the first commercial marketing of the product. Shortly thereafter, the Patent and Trademark Office requested that FDA determine the product's regulatory review period.

FDA has determined that the applicable regulatory review period for Plendil® is 2,494 days. Of this time, 1,248 days occurred during the testing phase of the regulatory review period, while 1,248 days occurred during the approval phase. These periods of time were derived from the following dates:

1. The date an exemption under section 505(i) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act became effective: September 27, 1984. The applicant claims January 16, 1986, as the date the investigational new drug application (IND) became effective. However, FDA records indicate that the IND became effective September 27, 1984, which was 30 days after FDA receipt of the IND.

2. The date the application was initially submitted with respect to the human drug product under section 505(b) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act: February 28, 1988. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that the new drug application (NDA) for Plendil® (NDA 19–834) was filed on February 28, 1988.

3. The date the application was approved: July 25, 1991. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that NDA 19–834 was approved on July 25, 1991.

This determination of the regulatory review period establishes the maximum potential length of a patent extension. However, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office applies several statutory limitations in its calculations of the actual period for patent extension. In its application for patent extension, this applicant seeks 2 years of patent term extension.

Anyone with knowledge that any of the dates as published is incorrect may, on or before February 18, 1992, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments and ask for a redetermination. Furthermore, any interested person may petition PDA, on or before June 17, 1992, for a determination regarding whether the applicant for extension acted with due diligence during the regulatory review period. To meet its burden, the petition must contain sufficient facts to merit an FDA investigation. (See H. Rept. 857, part 1, 98th Cong., 2d sess., pp. 41-42, 1984.) Petitions should be in the format specified in 21 CFR 10.30.

Comments and petitions should be submitted to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) in three copies (except that individuals may submit single copies) and identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Comments and petitions may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Dated: December 9, 1991.
Stuart L. Nightingale.

Associate Commissioner for Health Affairs.

[FR Doc. 91-30219 Filed 12-18-91; 8:45 am]